

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/766,858	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Susan F. Rayyan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2167	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Susan F. Rayyan.

 (2) Esther Chong, Reg. No. 40,953.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 February 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In order to place the application in condition for allowance, it was agreed to add the following limitation to the independent claims: the base part having a value created by a smaller time unit and extension part having a value created by a bigger time unit.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)